UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,376	05/12/2007	Bertrand Babinet	1121.03002	5894
24254 JACKSON ESQ	7590 03/10/200 QUIR E	9	EXAMINER	
ROGER A. JAC	CKSON	CRANDALL, LYNSEY P		
209 KALAMATH STREET UNIT 9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER, CO	DENVER, CO 80223-1343		3769	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/596,376	BABINET ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LYNSEY CRANDALL	3769				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Au</u>	iaust 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
·=						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11,23-25 and 33-43</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <i>9-11,23-25 and 33-43</i> are subject to re	estriction and/or election requiren	nent				
0/23 Claim(c) <u>0 11,20 20 and 00 10</u> and 00 30	sourious rana, or oloodorrioquiron	TOTIC.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National \$	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 3769

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 9-11 and 23-25, drawn to a perceptible apparatus for use in helping to create a reactive effect upon a user classified in 607/88.

Group 2, claim(s) 33-43, drawn to a method of using a perceptible apparatus classified in 128/898.

The inventions listed as Groups 1 and 2 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: From the claims, the special technical feature that links all the claims together is the control circuitry that produces a variable output of an LED or multiple LEDs, specifically by controlling the brightness and/or color. U.S. Patent 6,290,713 to Russell teaches an apparatus for phototherapy (abstract) using light emitting diodes (Col. 9, line 12) and having a controller capable of making the light-generating sources separately addressable so that they may be selectively illuminated in a particular pattern to achieve a particular therapeutic result. In addition, the power level of one or all of the light-generating sources may be controlled to optimize the light intensity (brightness) required, to mix colors where different LEDs are used. Because of this, the control circuitry is no longer considered a special technical feature and the claims are restricted between an apparatus and process of using the apparatus. The apparatus can be used to cure epoxy instead of the other methods listed.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

If Group 2 is elected the species are as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/596,376 Page 3

Art Unit: 3769

Species 1: A method of using a perceptible apparatus for use in helping to create

a desired effect upon a user.

Species 2: A method of using a perceptible apparatus for use in helping to create

a desired effect upon a crystal.

Species 3: A method of calibrating a perceptible apparatus.

Species 4: A method of replicating a desired reactive effect.

Species 5: A method of using a perceptible apparatus for use in helping to create

a desired effect upon a user utilizing a biofeedback system.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the

claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are

generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following

manner:

Species 1: Claims 33-37 and 42

Species 2: Claim 38

Species 3: Claims 39-40

Species 4: Claim 41

Species 5: Claim 43

The following claim(s) are generic: none currently appear generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As described above U.S. Patent 6,290,713 to Russell teaches the special technical feature and therefore this does not link the claims as a single general inventive concept.

Species 1 is a method for treating a user comprising a fluid, where the fluid of the user is specifically treated. Species 2 is a method for treating a crystal that contains no fluid. Species 3 is a method for calibrating the device, not for treating. Species 3 includes a shroud that occludes all external light, an element not in any of the previous species. Species 4 includes a step of selecting a calibration standard for color and brightness, a step not included in any of the other species. Species 5 includes a biofeedback system, an element not in any of the previous species.

A telephone call was made to Roger Jackson on 3/6/2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,376 Page 6

Art Unit: 3769

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LYNSEY CRANDALL whose telephone number is (571)270-7035. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hank Johnson can be reached on (571)272-4768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/LYNSEY CRANDALL/ Examiner, Art Unit 3769 /Henry M. Johnson, III/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3769

3/9/2009